

Talking points:

From Weston A. Price Foundation

1) You have deep concerns about any “rule” that would give CDC such totalitarian, unconstitutional, unchecked, unprecedented powers, self-described as “police powers.”

2) You oppose the military-like powers the new “rule” would grant CDC. Powers usurped and abused like in Nazi Germany.

“When an apprehension occurs, the individual is not free to leave or discontinue his/her discussion with an HHS/CDC public health or quarantine officer.”

3) The new “rule” will allow health officials to treat you, including vaccination, a medical treatment that carries with it the risk of serious injury and death, without confirmation that you are sick.

“...the proposed practice to issue Federal orders before a medical examination has taken place.”

4) You oppose forced or coerced medical treatment of any kind. The new “rule” takes away informed consent and therefore contravenes the international human rights agreements such as the Nuremberg Code and the United Nations Declaration of Human Rights. The new “rule” pertaining to entering into an “agreement” would make people unknowingly agree to undergo federally recommended health care treatments and lose their right to decline recommended treatment measures, including vaccines and other treatments.

“CDC may enter into an agreement with an individual, upon such terms as the CDC considers to be reasonably necessary, indicating that the individual consents to any of the public health measures authorized under this part, including quarantine, isolation, conditional release, medical examination, hospitalization, vaccination, and treatment: provided that the individual’s consent shall not be considered as a prerequisite to any exercise of any authority under this part.” [CJF emphasis added]

5) The new “rule” would give CDC unfettered power to add or delete any diseases that fit their criteria. For example, this means seasonal flu or measles or Zika may be added at CDC’s discretion. You oppose such vague criteria.

“By its terms, subsection (a) does not seek to limit the types of communicable disease for which regulations may be enacted, but rather applies to all communicable diseases that may impact human health.”

6) CDC is attempting to radically change their definition of what constitutes an “ill” person by including a wide range of vague symptoms such as an ill appearance that may be assessed by officials without medical training. You oppose this nebulous definition.

7) Simply being identified as having been at risk of exposure makes one classifiable as having a “pre communicable disease,” which can thereby result in one’s apprehension, quarantine and vaccination. You are firmly against this.

“HHS/CDC defines pre communicable stage to mean the stage beginning upon an individual’s earliest opportunity for exposure to an infectious agent and ending upon the individual entering or reentering the communicable stage of the disease or, if the individual does not enter the communicable stage, the latest date at which the individual could reasonably be expected to have the potential to enter or reenter the communicable stage.”

8) The new “rule” allows for criminal penalties. You, not the government, own your body.

“...individuals who violate the terms of the agreement or the terms of the Federal order for quarantine, isolation, or conditional release (even if no agreement is in place between the individual and the government), he or she may be subject to criminal penalties.”

9) You oppose the “rule” that says once an individual is released from custody and/or mandatory treatment, the individual would be subject to ongoing surveillance and tracking. You oppose giving CDC full access to your electronic life.

“HHS/CDC has proposed a definition for ‘electronic or internet-based monitoring’ that defines this term as referring to mechanisms or technologies allowing for the temporary public health supervision of an individual under conditional release, including electronic mail, SMS texts, video conference or webcam technologies, integrated voice-response systems, entry of information into a web-based forum, wearable tracking technologies, and other mechanisms or technologies as determined by the Director or supervising health authority.”

10) The truth is, quarantines should be in reverse--recently vaccinated individuals should stay home to protect others. You would not want to be placed in an environment with sick or recently vaccinated individuals.